

**MUNICIPAL DEMARCATION BOARD  
ANNUAL REPORT: 1999/2000**

The Speaker: National Assembly  
The Chairperson: National Council of Provinces  
The Speakers: Provincial Legislatures

**MUNICIPAL DEMARCATION BOARD: ANNUAL REPORT: 1 APRIL 1999 –  
31 MARCH 2000**

In terms of section 39 of the Local Government: Municipal Demarcation Act, 1998 the Municipal Demarcation Board hereby submits its annual report on its activities during the above-mentioned financial year as well as the audited financial statements.

To ensure that Parliament and the Provincial Legislatures are provided with updated information on all the activities of the Board prior to the local elections on 5 December 2000, additional information on activities and statistics compiled after the end of the above-mentioned financial year, are also included.

Yours sincerely

DR. M O SUTCLIFFE  
CHAIRPERSON: MUNICIPAL DEMARCATION BOARD

# **MUNICIPAL DEMARCATION BOARD**

## **NARRATIVE REPORT ON THE 1999/2000 FINANCIAL YEAR**

### **1. THE BOARD**

During the financial year one Board member, Me. Renee Hartsliet, resigned. The Minister decided that the vacancy not be filled. The Board continued with its activities with one full time member, the Chairperson, and nine part-time members.

The Board appointed various committees and technical teams to assist it in the demarcation process.

Board meetings, Executive Committee meetings and meetings of committees of the Board have been held regularly to consider input from the public and to attend to the legal provisions. Full Board meetings were inter alia held on:

- 2 February 1999,
- 1 March 1999,
- 15 March 1999,
- 11 April 1999,
- 28 April 1999,
- 2 May 1999,
- 3 May 1999,
- 4/5 May 1999,
- 18/19 May 1999,
- 4/5/6 June 1999,
- 21 June 1999,
- 4/5 July 1999,
- 21 July 1999,
- 1/2 August 1999,
- 18 November 1999,
- 8 December 1999,
- 15 December 1999,
- 20 January 2000,
- 24 January 2000,
- 13/14 February 2000,
- 21 February 2000,
- 28 February 2000, and
- 5/6 March 2000.

### **2. STAFF MATTERS**

The Board decided to appoint a core staff component consisting of a Manager, Deputy Manager: Corporate Services, Deputy Manager: Professional Services, Travel Co-ordinator/Office Administrator, Senior Financial Officer, Secretary,

Receptionist/Switchboard Operator, Office Organiser, Driver/Messenger and a Special Adviser.

In the absence of a Manager the administration of the Board was initially managed by the Chairman of the Board with the assistance of appointed senior staff.

After placing advertisements for the top management of the Board, the following appointments were made during the financial year:

- Dr. Lulama Zitha was appointed as Manager with effect from 1 November 1999.
- Mr. Hillary Monare was appointed as Deputy Manager: Professional Services with effect from 1 April 1999.
- Mr. Nkaniyso Buthelezi was appointed as Deputy Manager: Corporate services with effect from 1 February 2000.

The remaining staff complement (6 staff members) were also appointed during the 1999/2000 financial year.

During the period beginning after the 1999/2000 financial year the Board had to take certain disciplinary steps against the Manager. After a disciplinary inquiry, and given the seriousness of the charges being brought against Dr. Zitha, an independent chair of the disciplinary inquiry recommended that Dr. Zitha be dismissed. The Board concurred with this and Dr. Zitha was dismissed on 7 December 2000. After interviewing possible Managers, the Board appointed Mr. Hillary Monare as Manager of the Board with effect from 1 January 2001. After the 5 December 2000 elections and as a result of an institutional review, the Board retrenched two staff members and has appointed a number of persons to professional positions (GIS and Research). A full report in this regard will be submitted in the 2000/2001 financial report.

### **3. CONSULTANTS**

In February 1999, the Board advertised for consultants and consortia to assist it in the demarcation process. The first phase was primarily to undertake assessments of the status quo and to create an integrated GIS data base. GIS is essentially an information-management system, which is geographically or spatially based. It is a powerful computer-mapping system, which assists with the management of information based on locality. The common users of GIS are local municipalities, utility companies, commercial institutions such as banks, the transportation industry, and the agricultural and forestry sectors. The basic function of GIS is to analyse complex and interrelated data. The GIS process is highly effective and efficient, and supports improved decision-making. The end result of a GIS functioning is the production of maps.

Consultants employed during this first phase were: Wendy Ovens (metropolitan areas), Themba Maluleka (Cross Boundary Areas), Vuyo Mahlati (District Councils), Nomi Sangweni (analysis of Section 24 and 25 factors), Ram Sewnath and Willy Govender from Dataworld (GIS and attribute data base) and four unemployed Masters level students (suggested by their Professors at the University of Natal and Durban-Westville) as data analysts.

The second phase was for the continuing operations of the Board and the Board decided not to appoint a single consortia to undertake all the Board's work. Instead, a core component was selected and it was decided that all other consultants (needed for investigations, hearings, planning work, facilitation work, etc.) would come from a national data base.

In terms of all other consultants, the following process was employed: (i) an advert (published late May 1999) invited consultants to apply to the Board; (ii) their names were placed on a database; (iii) continual updates were made; and (iv) From these consultants were chosen as and when needed. This allowed the Board to assemble a diverse, geographically spread and representative group of consultants to assist it in its work.

<b>Consultant</b>	<b>Firm</b>
Dimakatso Mohlabane	Dimakatso Mohlabane
David Schmidt	Strategies for Change Agecy C.C.
N.A. Baartman	N.A. Baartman
Solomon Legodi	Solomon Legodi
Yondela Silimela	Yondela Silimela
Reginald Moses	Reginald Moses
S.S Macandula	S.S Macandula
E.M. Maseko	E.M. Maseko
A.A. Ndlovana	A.A. Ndlovana
T. Tamasane	T. Tamasane
R. Allison	R. Allison
T.D. Goba	T.D. Goba & Associates
J.H. Lerm	Hannes Lerm & Associates
A.T. Ncongwane	A.T. Ncongwane
D.A. Ncongwane	D.A. Ncongwane
Nelson Rapotu	Nelson Rapotu
R. Maesela	Maesela Prof Land Surveyors
Gemey Abrahams	Gemey Abrahams
E.L. Potloane	E.L. Potloane
Arne Teubner	Arne Teubner
N.S. Kgopong	N. Solly Kpopong
Mamidze Rex	African Renaissance Dev Consult
NV Ralushai	Far North Consultants C.C.
S. Lindsay	Setplan
Minty Cossie	Minty Cossie

Lamla Stemela	Lamla Stemela
K. Nkrumah-Abrese	K. Nkrumah-Abrese
J. Zingel	JGZ Development
H.F. Prinsloo	CEBO Planning
W.T. Edwards	W.T. Edwards
J.C.O. Bekker	J.C.O. Bekker
L.P. Motseki	L.P. Motseki
S. Mengezeleli	S. Mengezeleli
Litha Kunene	Litha Kunene
V. Maila	Emendo TRP
P. Ngobeni	Pat Ngobeni Land Surveyors
Amos Ndebele	Insika Rural Development Trust
L. Mokwena	Kwena Project Management
A. Mawelele	A. Mawelele
M. Sephiri	M. Sephiri
D. Nolte	D. Nolte & Associates
T.T. Maoka	T.T. Maoka
J.R.M. Alexander	J.R.M. Alexander
T.G. Mabanda	T.G. Mabanda
Sibongiseni Maseko	Maseko Hlongwa & Associates
Eric Mfengu	Eric Mfengu
Ernest Drewes	Ernest Drewes
Noko Ngaffe	Noko Ngaffe
Andrè Olivier	Organisation Dev. Africa C.C.
G.S. Cloete	G.S. Cloete
Osman Narker	Narker & Associates C.C.
A.M. Adam	MCA Urban & Env. Planners
Ian Goldman	Khanya Managing Rural Change C.C.
S. Machete	S. Machete
JGL Marais	JGL Marais
Doreen Atkinson	Mc Intosh Xaba & Associates
Tlou Pila	Tlou Pila
Loyiso Lugawe	Loyiso Lugawe
J.M. Msiza	J.M. Msiza
Mpho Mogale	Mpho Mogale
Jimmy Gotyana	Rural Urban Consultants
C. Linde	C. Linde
Lance Del Monte	Metroplan
A.A. Sebego	Sebego Molema & Viljoen
T. Mthembu	Development Interface

Dr D.J. Bos	Dr D.J. Bos
Sita Mathiba	Afro Concept Development Planners
MTS Mbatha	CDRF
Brij Maharaj	Dr B. Maharaj
Vish Suparsad	Suparsad Consultants
N Sikutshwa	Setplan
Evelyn Sibaca	Evelyn Sibaca
NQ Machete	NQ Machete
T.K. Mokone	T.K. Mokone
N. Ndzombane	Metroplan
Shakira Lillah	Shakira Lillah
Charlie Jantjies	Rural Urban Consultants
Desmond Sweke	Setplan
Peter Jewell	Peter Jewell Consulting Services
Basil Sikhakhane	Development Interface
Johannes Moloji	Moloji & Millar
Dianne Scott	Dianne Scott
WM Mkhize	WM Mkhize
Bonga Malombo	Zizamele Facilitation Services
Thokozani Xaba	McIntosh Xaba & Associates
Bruce McCormack	Bruce McCormack & Associates C.C.
Victor K Marumo	Victor K Marumo
J.G.H. van Rooyen	J.G.H. van Rooyen
PJ Mokobane	PJ Mokobane
AN Bester	AN Bester
Theo Kotze	Theo Kotze
Ledile Molope	Emendo TRP
TL Malukane	TL Malukane
I. Hooyberg - Smuts	I. Hooyberg - Smuts
Jeffery J. Mc Carthy	Jeffery J. Mc Carthy
Lu Heidemann	Research For Change C.C.
Neo Maape	NML Consulting
Kevin Allan	Kevin Allan
Philnet Bhekikhaya Madlala	Philnet Bhekikhaya Madlala
Maphete Leeuw	NML Consulting
Cathy Oelfose	Cathy Oelfose
Andrew Smith	Andson C.C.

Dr MM Khosa	Centre for African Research
P. Montwedi	P. Montwedi
M.A. Hlahla	MH Town & Regional Planners
NK Luzipho	BTN Championing Transformation
N. Soldati	N. Soldati
Various	Wankin & Associates
Various	Urban & Regional Development Planners
I. Meyer	Ivan Meyer Consulting Services C.C.
A. Sempill	Urban Projects Team
N. Klug	N. Klug
P. Ramarumo	Truly South African
Amanda de Beer	Amanda de Beer
KPMG	KPMG Chartered Accountants (SA)
Afripeak Management	Afripeak Management (Pty) Ltd
NC Steytler	NC Steytler
A Foflonker	A Foflonker
Various	University of Western Cape (CLC)
M Mohapi	M Mohapi
J. Mettler	J. Mettler
H.B. Isherwood	Land Projects Consultants
JP Mathe	JP Mathe
MJ Nemavhandu	MJ Nemavhandu
L Saayman	L Saayman
B Roberts	B Roberts
RJ Hansmann	RJ Hansmann
ZA Botes	Geo-Dynamic Systems
Julienne T Brown	JT Brown
WJ Kirkland	WJ Kirkland
C Damerell	C Damerell

In addition, the Board decided to place a cap on fees charged by consultants. For example, while the IEC applied to the Board to be used on an agency basis, their fees ranged from R450 per hour to R700 per hour. This compared with the rates charged by Board consultants which did not exceed R350 per hour.

In addition the Board appointed IDASA to set up, manage and operate a call centre (toll free line) to enhance communication and transparency.

For the day to day running of the administration the Board also made use of temporary staff such as students.

#### **4. CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS**

As a new Constitutional body which replaced the previous nine advisory Provincial Demarcation Boards, the Board was initially dependent on the Department of Constitutional Development for limited accommodations and administrative support. In this regard the Board would like to express its appreciation to the Department. The names of Zam Titus, Chippy Olver, Fanie Louw, Kevin Naidoo, Jan Serfontein and Amalinda Kuiper deserve special recognition.

During the second half of 1999 the Board moved to premises in Hatfield, Pretoria and had to go through a procurement process to establish infrastructure for its administration and Board activities.

Due to the limited accommodation space available to the Board it had to make use of external facilities for workshops with stakeholders and meetings of Board Committees.

#### **5. CONSULTATION WITH STAKEHOLDERS**

Very productive meetings have been held with the following:

- National Parliamentary Portfolio Committee;
- Minister and officials of DCD;
- MECs;
- SALGA and its affiliates;
- Houses of Traditional Leaders;
- National Departments;
- Political parties in the legislatures;
- Key private sector agencies;
- Key NGOs.

Stakeholder meetings were held on a regular basis to ensure that they were being kept abreast of the demarcation process.

#### **6. COMMUNICATION AND PUBLICATIONS**

The Board maintained good relations with the media. The media played a very important role in the publication of the Board's official notices and communication with the public. Regular media briefings arranged by the Board were well attended. The Board inter alia, published the following:

- July 1999: Publication of nodal points for category A and C municipal areas.



- August 1999: Publication of section 26 notice for Category A and C municipalities and possible cross boundary areas.
- October 1999: Advertisements to inform the public that the determination of Category A and C municipalities have been published in Provincial Gazettes.
- October 1999: Republication of section 26 notice for Category A and C municipalities after the Constitutional Court ruled that certain provisions in the Local Government: Municipal Structures Act were invalid.
- October 1999: Publication of section 26 notice for Category B municipal areas.
- November 1999: Publication of section 28 notice with details of public meetings held between 29 November and 2 December 1999 in respect of the determination of the boundaries of category B municipalities.
- March 2000: Advertisements to thank the public for their participation in the demarcation process and to invite them to participate in the ward delimitation process.

In the 2000/2001 financial year advertisements were also published (in April 2000) pertaining to the delimitation of wards. A full report on the delimitation of wards will be provided in the next annual report.

The radio was also an important instrument in complying with the Board's legal obligations:

- The contents of the section 26 notice for category A and C municipalities was conveyed to the public by Radio Sonder Grense, Umhlobo we Nene (Xhosa), Safm, Thobela FM (Northern Sotho) and Ukhozi FM (Zulu) on 12, 13, 16, 18 and 23 August 1999.
- The same radio stations were used to convey the contents of the section 26 notice in respect of Category B municipalities on 12, 14 and 16 October 1999.

Numerous press briefings were also held to inform the public on the activities of the Board.

A web site was set up ([www.demarcation.org.za](http://www.demarcation.org.za)) to empower the public to get immediate access to information on demarcation activities and maps of new boundaries. The web site was actively used by municipalities, government institutions, the private sector and other interested persons, institutions and organisations. It had made a major contribution to saving costs on staff expenses, printing and other means of communication.

Over 1 million visits to the Board's Web Site were recorded during the period October 1999 to February 2000 which was the peak period for the demarcation of outer boundaries of municipalities.

Communication was also enhanced through a call centre facility. IDASA was contracted to operate a call centre and toll free line no. 0800111006 on behalf of the Board. This empowered persons with no access to the internet to obtain information on all the activities of the Board.

## **7. AD HOC DEMARCATIONS**

The Board adopted the following approach to dealing with ad hoc demarcations:

- Ad hoc demarcations would only be considered if they are urgent, are minor, are for developmental reasons and are unlikely to be controversial.
- The following information had to be provided by applicants: a map of the relevant area, a point-to-point description, if a traditional authority/magisterial district is affected details of how it is affected, letters from affected municipalities indicating the concurrence of their councils with the proposed exclusions and/or inclusions and any other information which may be useful.

During the past two financial years some 65 requests for ad-hoc boundary determinations were received of which 30 were approved by the Board. The Board believes the long and tedious process to deal with ad hoc determinations requires an amendment to the Act.

## **8. DETERMINATION OF CATEGORY A, B AND C MUNICIPAL BOUNDARIES**

### **8.1 THE DETERMINATION OF METROPOLITAN AND DISTRICT COUNCIL BOUNDARIES**

The Municipal Demarcation Board published a Section 26 notice on 10 August 1999 inviting views and representations on the determination of the boundaries of all category A (Metropolitan) municipalities; the boundaries of all category C (District) municipalities; and possible municipal boundaries extending across provincial boundaries.

The Notice followed:

- the Board's issuing of a general Framework on nodal points for District and Metropolitan areas in South Africa and which was published on 28 June 1999; and
- Minister FS Mufamadi declaring on 06 August 1999 that Johannesburg, Cape Town, Durban, Pretoria and East Rand would be metropolitan areas.

By close of business on 1 September 1999, over 350 submissions had been received responding to the Board's Framework and the Section 26 notice.

In addition, the Board continued to undertake its own investigations into the possible boundaries for Metropolitan and District councils in South Africa.

After discussions in committees of the Board, and confirmed at the Board meeting on 5 September 1999, the Municipal Demarcation Board decided to make available to the public at large draft boundaries for Metropolitan and District council boundaries throughout South Africa. These boundaries and comments received by the Board were investigated over a few weeks by some 25 technical teams.

Parallel to, and a necessary part of this process was the investigation of possible Cross Boundary municipalities. In communications with the Minister, the Board was encouraged to make known its own determinations on possible Cross Boundary areas in order that these could be dealt with through a single piece of legislation and after consultation with the governments concerned.

The Board's preliminary view was that there were a number of areas which could become Cross Boundary Municipalities.

The board pointed out that the finalisation of Metropolitan and District Council boundaries to a large extent was dependent on a degree of certainty about:

- The revenue base of municipalities
- Staffing
- Future major governmental plans

In this regard, the Board published its views on the financing of municipalities.

Between 6 and 14 October 1999 the boundaries of Category A and C municipalities and possible cross boundary areas were published in Provincial Gazettes.

In addition to its communications with stakeholders, the Board provided, at some 55 selected venues, copies of the maps of the boundaries of District/Metropolitan municipalities.

On 15 October 1999 the Constitutional Court found the following sections of the Municipal Structures Act, 1998 to be unconstitutional:

- Sec.4
- Sec.5
- Sec. 6(2)
- Sec. 13
- Sec. 24(1)

The Constitutional Court judgement clearly stated that, in fulfilling its constitutional obligation to determine the boundaries of the categories of municipalities, the [Municipal Demarcation Board](#) must not only apply the criteria for determining municipal boundaries, but it must of necessity, apply the criteria for determining when an area should have a particular category of municipality. Such necessity arises from the fact that the determination of boundaries cannot take place in isolation – it can only occur in relation to the boundaries of a specific category, or categories, of municipality. Without determining the category of municipality, the determination of a boundary becomes a meaningless exercise. The Court argued that the task of applying the criteria when an area should have particular category of municipality naturally falls on the Demarcation Board.

On the question as to whether it is possible to excise amongst others sections 4 and 5 of the Structures Act and as to whether the remaining provisions of the Act give effect to the purpose of the Act the Constitutional Court answered in the affirmative. These

two questions relate to the application of the criteria, which, the court found, the Minister has no power under the Constitution to apply. The Court also found that section 2 and 3 of the Structures Act, read with section 25 of the Municipal Demarcation Act provide sufficient criteria to enable the Board to carry out its functions.

In reacting to the court's judgement, the Board published afresh Section 26 Notices inviting comment from the public at large around the determination of Category A and Category C municipalities.

Based on its earlier research, the Board believed that only the following areas were real contenders as category A (Metropolitan) municipalities:

- Definites: Johannesburg, Cape Town and Durban;
- Probables: Pretoria and East Rand
- Possible: Port Elizabeth.

The Board commissioned a specific study into whether or not Port Elizabeth meets the criteria as laid down in the Municipal Structures Act, which study indicated it did meet the criteria. The Board also consulted with its major stakeholders around the decision on which areas should be metropolitan areas.

At its meeting on November 18 1999, the Municipal Demarcation Board applied the relevant criteria in Section 2 of the Municipal Structures Act and determined that the following areas would be category A areas:

- Greater Johannesburg
- Greater Cape Town
- Greater Durban
- Greater East Rand
- Greater Pretoria
- Greater Port Elizabeth

As at the 15<sup>th</sup> November 1999, 827 Category A and C submissions were received by the Demarcation Board. Of these, 525 were nodal or boundary submissions and 302 were submitted as objections.

The number of submissions received varied from province to province. The greatest number of submissions were received from the Western Cape with 35% (279 submissions), followed by Gauteng with 17% (139 submissions), and KwaZulu/ Natal with 13% (110 submissions). The percentage of submissions received drops significantly from here with the Eastern Cape accounting for 9% (78 submissions), Mpumalanga accounting for 7% (62 submissions), the Northern Cape accounting for 6% (49 submissions) and the Free State accounting for 5% (41 submissions). The Northern Province accounted for 4% (35 submissions) and the North West for 4% (31 submissions).

Of the 302 objections, it is important to note that 181 objections were received from Helderberg, 33 from Midrand and 5 from Centurion. Although recorded separately when received by the Board it is significant that most submissions received from these

three areas were single line e-mails or single line copies faxed through to the Board with no substantiation of the objection.

After having considered all views and representations the Board determined the boundaries of all Category A and C municipalities and the applicable Section 21 notice was published in the provincial Gazettes from 22 - 26 November 1999. Objections were to be submitted by 31 January 2000.

## **8.2 THE DETERMINATION OF CATEGORY B BOUNDARIES**

A detailed description of each phase for determining the category B boundaries is outlined below.

### **Phase 1: Policy Formulation**

From the outset of the process, a small team of consultants was appointed to examine the legislative guidelines and the implications thereof for the drafting of a framework for determining category B municipalities. The following legislation was examined in detail:

- ❖ Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, No 107 of 1996
- ❖ Local Government: Municipal Demarcation Act, No 27 of 1998
- ❖ Local Government: Municipal Structures Act, No 117 of 1998
- ❖ The White Paper on Local Government, March 1998

A document was released on the Internet indicating the Board's initial thinking with respect to category B municipalities. It highlighted some key considerations namely settlement types, the rationalisation of municipalities, manageable size and the Board's initial approach to the use of district management areas. This document was refined considerably after a more detailed examination of the legislation, spatial and functional analysis and the broad aspects of financial and administrative capacity. The draft published towards the end of October 1999 contained the following extract of the guiding principles:

### **Rationalisation of Municipalities**

The demarcation of Category B municipalities needs to proceed in terms of the factors as described in Section 25 of the Municipal Demarcation Act. Some degree of rationalisation of municipalities is required. It was the Board's view that, in the light of this, key principles for the rationalisation (and/or amalgamation) of municipalities should include the following:

- Geographical contiguity: Because municipal government is so closely tied to local identity and accessibility to local representatives, rationalisation should generally follow 'nearest-neighbour' principles – that is, there be geographically coherent consolidated Category B municipalities, and not 'leap frog' amalgamations of areas. Not only are geographically fragmented municipalities impractical from a service delivery perspective, but the important function of local government in building a local, developmental identity and sense of common civic purpose, is undermined.

□ Capacity development: Another objective of rationalisation / amalgamation should be to develop a minimum ‘critical mass’ of municipal capacity (staff, assets, finances), especially where vulnerable and under capacitated TLCs and TRCs currently exist. Very small municipalities lack the potential to develop the specialised and dedicated capacity that is necessary to effect good town planning, engineering and development management and general service delivery in a country which is undergoing significant modernisation and change in its settlement systems. Moreover, accessing capital markets and the ability to provide ‘higher order’ services (such as libraries) is considerably weakened especially in small town and rural contexts where municipalities are too small.

□ Resource sharing: Wherever possible, current TLC’s, TRC’s and/or other areas should be combined with a view to realising fiscally sustainable units, with ‘weaker’ areas being paired with ‘stronger’ areas so as to achieve a sharing of existing or potential resources. Unless this is done, there is every possibility that many of the smaller or weaker authorities will collapse; or islands of exclusive development may emerge up against which under-serviced and unplanned settlements are likely to emerge. Neither the likely waste of the infrastructure of collapsed local authority areas nor the preservation of islands of privilege abutted by under-serviced areas will contribute towards a rational settlement landscape and use of scarce national development resources.

### **Manageable Size**

A statistically derived indicator of 3 500km<sup>2</sup> and 80 000 persons was suggested as the probable norm for Category B municipalities. However, deviations from such a norm are possible given the uneven geographical distribution of population and economic activity throughout the country. Illustratively, there are some cities / large town, which need to be treated as functional units with populations in excess of 1 million. At the other end of the scale, there are sparsely settled rural/small town areas where a population of 80 000 would require undesirably extensive geographical areas. The Board’s empirical research suggests that population of less than 20 000 are generally undesirable for Category B municipalities given the objectives of realising economies of agglomeration and scale in municipalities. On the other hand, given the need for geographical coherence and local identity, areas greater than 10 000 km<sup>2</sup> are also desirable.

It should however also be recognised that there will often be an inverse relationship between the geographical size and population size of Category B municipalities. Sparsely settled areas of the country will have Category Bs of relatively large geographical area, but relatively small population size. Densely settled areas (eg cities) will be relatively small in geographical size but will have large populations. This is a logical result of the uneven pattern of population distribution and settlement size that occurs throughout the world.

### **Functionality**

Category B municipalities should be aggregates of places with significant internal linkages. Such linkages are evident in shopping and work travel patterns. Patterns of

social interaction, economic interdependencies, and shared transportation networks amongst other considerations. However, functional linkages are never entirely discrete and there will always be some degree of functional linkage across Category B municipal boundaries. The idea is to maximise the internal linkage whilst minimising the external linkages.

It is recognised that in any alignment of wall-to-wall (or near wall-to-wall) boundaries for Category B municipalities, tradeoffs must arise as to whether some 'X' might be allocated to adjacent municipal areas 'Y' or 'Z'. In such circumstances, a most important consideration is the functional links between places – that is to say, whether such a place 'X' is interdependent or whether it interacts more with the places in areas 'Y' or 'Z'.

To some extent, functional interdependence is a result of geographical proximity (or distance), but not always. Illustratively, the alignment of transportation routes and physical features (such as a coast) can alter patterns of functional interdependence of some places into a more linear than a circular pattern. In yet other cases, places which may be close together 'as the crow flies' are divided by an impassable mountain range. As a result they interact very little. Maximising the internal functional linkages between places can therefore mean a different matter than simple distance between places.

For this reason, the 'jig-saw puzzle' of boundaries which make up the logical Category B pattern will not necessarily be geometrically similar from District council to District Council and from Province to Province. But having said this, functionally is usually an additional qualifying factor to, or an elaboration upon, the principle of geographical coherence as described above.

The above mentioned framework was developed with due regard to the provisions of sections 24 and 25 of the Municipal Demarcation Act and provided the Board with a means to evaluate broad areas for demarcation purposes. However, in the evaluation of submissions in terms of section 26 of the Act the Board specifically took into account the factors provided for in section 25 of the Act.

The above framework was presented at a Stakeholder meeting on the 4 November 1999. In addition, the document was released on the Internet.

### **Legal Process – Section 26 Notice**

In terms of section 26 (1) of the Local Government Municipal Demarcation act No 27 of 1998, before the Board considers any determination of a municipal boundary in terms of section 21 of the Act, it must publish a notice in a newspaper circulating in the area concerned. The notice must state the Boards' intention to consider boundaries and inviting written representations and views from the public. The stipulated period for such responses may not be less than 21 days. The section 26 notice for category B municipalities was published on 11 October 1999 with the closing date on the 2 November 1999.

The Board received two hundred and nineteen submissions with the majority emanating from the larger provinces of the Western Cape, Eastern Cape and Kwa

Zulu Natal. A poor response was received from the provinces of the North West, Mpumalanga and the Northern Province. The table outlined below provides more detail with respect to the number of submissions received per province:

Province	Number of Submissions	Percentage of National
Western Cape	95	43.4
Northern Cape	18	8.2
Eastern Cape	31	14.2
Free State	18	8.2
Kwa Zulu Natal	24	11
Mpumalanga	6	2.7
Northern Province	5	2.3
North West	7	3.2
Gauteng	15	6.8
Total	219	100%

Subsequent to the closing date for the 26 notice submissions, the Board received an additional thirty-seven contributions. Again, these have been assessed and all relevant information included in the demarcation process.

Every submission was assessed in accordance with the relevant legal provisions and the Board's category B policy framework. On the whole, a substantial effort was made on the part of the public and demarcation stakeholders to complete the questionnaire provided by the Board, to consult relevant stakeholders in the area and to provide the required information. Valuable information on municipal finance and administrative resources was identified and were extracted during December 1999 into a database to assist with the drafting of notices in terms of section 12 of the Municipal Structures Act, 1998. Category B submissions, which were deemed to meet the criteria as outlined in the legislation and policy framework, were carefully considered when preparing the boundaries for category B areas.

### **Phase 3: Boundary Assessment**

In order to facilitate the process of category B boundary determination, the Board prepared a number of boundary options for examination. With the exception of the Western Cape and one example in the Northern Province, only one category B option was prepared. In order to adequately map the boundaries, additional data sets were purchased and or obtained by the Board, for example the 1:250000 topographical information and the environmental database were acquired from the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism.

A number of workshops were held in which the category B framework and sections 24 and 25 of the Municipal Demarcation Act No 27 of 1998 were applied in the preparation of the boundary options. In addition, cognisance was also taken, in the drafting phase, of the information obtained from the section 26 submissions.

The draft boundaries were published on the Internet for public comment. Section 27 of the Local Government Municipal Demarcation Act No 27 of 1998 outlines the process to be followed by the Board when deliberating boundaries. It states that when the period for the written representations and views has expired, the Board must consider all representations and views submitted to it. Thereafter, it may take a



decision on the boundary determination or, before it takes such a decision, the Board may hold a public meeting, conduct a formal investigation or do both. It should be mentioned that the Board is not obliged to hold public meetings or undertake an investigation but may do so at its discretion. For the purpose of further public participation in addition to the 21 days provided for in section 26 and the 30 days provided for in section 21 of the Act, the Board decided to conduct both investigations and hold public meetings. In this process views and representations were obtained in addition to the information already at the disposal of the Board on which determinations could have been made.

### **Public Hearings**

One hundred and forty seven hearings were held throughout South Africa. The table below indicates the number of hearings plus the approximate attendance per province:

Province	No of Hearings	Total Attendance	Average Attendance
Gauteng	7	330	47.1
North West	10	759	75.9
Northern Province	10	447	44.7
Mpumalanga	9	431	47.9
Free State	13	911	70.1
Northern Cape	12	624	52.0
Eastern Cape	23	1076	46.8
Western Cape	20	1237	61.9
Kwa Zulu Natal	44	1453	61.9
Total	148	7268	56.5

Given the intention of the hearings, which was to enhance public participation and to gather public views and comments on the boundary options, it was decided by the Board to use community facilitators as chairpersons. Consultants provided technical assistance to the chairpersons. The Board appointed IDASA to provide suitable chairpersons, secure the venues and project-manage certain aspects of the hearing logistics. An intensive training session was held with both chairpersons and support consultants. Comprehensive documentation packs were provided such as relevant legislation, policy approaches, base information, maps etc. On the whole, the Board believes the hearing process was successful, although there were some weaknesses. A number of important issues will, however, require attention for the ward boundary hearings.

Firstly, there were a number of organisational considerations. It would appear that some of the chairs required more in depth training than what was offered for the category B process. The category B hearings tended to focus on one geographic area, which appeared to cause confusion for people attending from elsewhere in the district. The Board's policy was to hold the hearings in disadvantaged areas. Whilst this appears to have been successful in many instances, the quality of the venues varied greatly often contributing to the late commencement of the hearing.

Secondly, concerns were raised with respect to the communication aspect of the hearings. Throughout the country there were complaints that people were not aware of the hearings in spite of all the MEC's, municipalities, SALGA affiliates, House of Traditional Leaders, political parties having been contacted. In addition, the dates,

times and venues were widely advertised in the press. There were insufficient understanding of the legal provisions pertaining to category A, B, C municipalities and DMAs. In some areas, people experienced difficulties in reading the maps and queried the statistics used by the Board.

### **Boundary Investigations**

The investigations were undertaken on a provincial basis with leaders appointed per province. A meeting was held in Pretoria with the provincial leaders to explain the Category B framework, the terms of reference for the investigation and the expected reporting procedures. Base information such as staffing and financial information was gathered from most municipalities. Each boundary was examined to confirm the configuration of the existing TLC/TRCs in the proposed category B municipalities and to confirm that settlements towards the edges of the boundaries are appropriately located according to their functional linkages. The information from the section 26 submissions was once again assessed and the outcomes from the public hearings made available to the investigation consultants.

The Board held a workshop on the 8 December 1999, which was attended by the leading provincial investigating consultants. The findings of the investigations were presented and recommended boundary changes discussed by the Board. Boundary amendments were also identified for category A and C municipalities to accommodate the category B configurations.

A report was prepared per category B municipality highlighting the following:

- The location of the Category B municipality
- Boundary considerations
- Rationalisation of Municipalities (Geographical contiguity and coherence, Capacity Development and Resource sharing)
- Manageable size
- Functionality
- Conclusions/Recommendation

The draft reports were released on the Internet on 22 December 1999. These reports varied in quality and the Board has decided not to continue producing such reports as on a number of occasions information considered by the Board was not dealt with in these reports.

### **Phase 4: Boundary Determination**

The Municipal Demarcation Board Meeting to consider B-municipal boundaries was held on 15 December 1999. The purpose of the meeting was to determine the category B boundaries throughout South Africa. Again, the Board applied the category A, and C and B boundary frameworks in addition to section 24 and 25 of the Local Government: Municipal Demarcations Act, No 27 of 1998 in the assessment of boundaries. A number of category A and C boundaries were re-determined as a result of the category B boundary process.

The Section 21 notices appeared in the relevant Provincial Gazettes from 20 – 22 December 1999. The closing date for objections to the boundaries was 31 January 2000.

## **9. CONSIDERATION OF OBJECTIONS AND REDETERMINATIONS OF CATEGORY A, B AND C BOUNDARIES**

### **9.1 OBJECTIONS**

As at the 15<sup>th</sup> February 2000 a total of 2353 submissions and objections had been received by the Demarcation Board. The table below illustrates the total number of objections received by province and divides this total between submissions and objections.

Province	Cat A&C Submissions Received	Cat B Submissions Received	Cat A, B & C Objections Received	TOTAL	%
Eastern Cape	85	116	53	254	10.8
Free State	46	60	36	142	6.0
Gauteng	131	28	53	212	9.0
KZN	131	154	136	421	17.9
Mpumalanga	65	48	183	296	12.6
North West	33	39	29	101	4.3
Northern Cape	46	73	47	166	7.1
Northern Province	46	75	79	200	8.5
Western Cape	204	220	132	556	23.6
National		3	2	5	0.2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>787</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>2353</b>	<b>100.0</b>

#### **Note:**

- In Gauteng the total included 8 objections regarding Meyerton;
- In Mpumalanga the total included 120 objections regarding Marloth Park and 6 regarding Bethal;
- In the Western Cape the total included 10 objections from Helderberg, 12 objections in regard to Paarl and 8 objections in regard to Franschoek.

### **9.2 REDETERMINATIONS**

After all objections have been duly considered the Board redetermined the the boundaries of all category A, B and C municipalities and also published its proposed proposed boundaries for cross boundary municipalities. A number of district management areas were also declared.

The final determination resulted in the following number of municipalities per category:

Category A	6	Of which 2 are cross boundary metropolitan municipalities.
Category B	232	Of which 8 are cross boundary local municipalities
Category C	46	Of which 7 are cross boundary district municipalities
DMAs	26	Of which 1 (Kruger Park) falls in two provinces

The relevant notices were published in Provincial Gazettes as follows:

PROVINCE	NOTICE NUMBER	PROVINCIAL GAZETTE NUMBER	DATE OF PUBLICATION
NORTHERN CAPE	10	455	28 FEB 2000
NORTHERN PROVINCE	38	484	28 FEB 2000
MPUMALANGA	50	513	28 FEB 2000
EASTERN CAPE	22	486	28 FEB 2000
NORTH WEST	37	5447	28 FEB 2000
GAUTENG	1175	16	2 MARCH 2000
FREE STATE		14	28 FEB 2000
KZN	M.N.24	5415	10 MARCH 2000
W CAPE	PN 69	5431	3 MARCH 2000

### **9.3 CORRECTIONS**

Due to technical and other problems various boundaries had to be republished in the 2000/2001 financial year and prior to the 5 December 2000 local elections.

### **10. CROSS BOUNDARY AREAS**

After receiving the concurrence of the relevant legislatures and after the Cross-boundary Act, 2000 was promulgated the Board determined the boundaries of 2 cross boundary metropolitan municipalities, 8 cross boundary local municipalities and 7 cross boundary district municipalities.

The legal provisions to deal with cross boundary areas are very cumbersome and the Board recommends that they be reviewed.

### **11. DISTRICT MANAGEMENT AREAS**

In terms of section 6 of the Municipal Structures Act, 1998 (Act No.117 of 1998) the Municipal Demarcation Board, after having consulted the Minister and the MECs responsible for local government in the provinces, declared various areas that must have municipalities of both category C and category B as district management areas. The Boards final declaration was published in Government Gazette 21617 of 29 September 2000.

### **12. DELIMITATION OF WARDS**

In the interests of transparency, the MDB released a Discussion Document dealing with not only the process to be embarked upon in finalizing ward boundaries, but data on the number of registered voters and existing councillors in each of these municipal areas. These data allowed all stakeholders to debate these issues in anticipation of the formula for the number of councillors.

After the Minister published the formula for the number of councillors on 5 April 1999 the MECs responsible for local government published the number of councillors in Provincial Gazettes. The Board delimited wards during the 2000/2001 financial year and a report in this regard will be submitted in the next annual report. However, as statistics are already available, they will be provided later in this report.

### **13. ASSESSMENT OF THE CAPACITY OF MUNICIPALITIES AND POWERS AND FUNCTIONS.**

The Constitution and Municipal Structures Act grant to municipalities a wide range of functions and powers. As part of its responsibility, the MDB must make recommendations to the MECs on the capacity of each of the new municipalities to perform these functions and powers. The Board together with other stakeholders attended to its legal obligations in this regard and would do more work in the 2000/2001 financial year on which a report will be submitted in the 2000/2001 annual report.

Clearly, given South Africa's legacy, it will take some years before the system is running smoothly and municipalities have all reached a basic level of service delivery.

### **14. TRADITIONAL LEADERS**

Many hours had to be spent addressing the concerns of traditional leaders. In many cases Traditional leaders complained about matter not related to demarcation but to Constitutional provisions which cannot be solved by the Board.

### **15. LITIGATION**

A number of notices were served on the Board. This matter will be dealt with in the 2000/2001 annual report.

Cheadle, Haysom and Thompson Attorneys were appointed as the Board's attorneys to oppose the applications.

### **16. ALIGNMENT OF MUNICIPAL AND FUNCTIONAL SERVICE DELIVERY BOUNDARIES**

A report on the co-operation with Departments to align their functional service delivery boundaries with municipal boundaries will be submitted in the 2000/2001 annual report.

### **17. STATISTICS**

#### **POPULATION: NATIONAL AND RURAL PER PROVINCE**

Province	Population	Rural	Percentage
Eastern Cape	6307722	3927488	62%
Free State	2634393	826897	31%
Gauteng	7350469	222203	3%
KwaZulu-Natal	8408579	4818635	57%
Mpumalanga	2802719	1706407	61%
North West	3356669	2172676	65%
Northern Cape	840621	260073	31%
Northern Province	4930857	4313771	87%
Western Cape	3958798	441245	11%

## RATIONALISATION

The 843 municipalities established during 1995/96 have been rationalised to 284 as follows:

- 6 (A Category) Metropolitan areas (Johannesburg, Pretoria, East Rand, Durban, Port Elizabeth and Cape Town)
- 47 (C category) District Municipalities
- 231 (B category) Local Municipalities

## MUNICIPALITIES PER PROVINCE

PROVINCE	Met-ros (A)	Cross-boundary Metros (A)	Local (B)	Cross-boundary Local (B)	District (C)	Cross-boundary District (C)
Eastern Cape	1		38		6	
Free State			20		5	
Gauteng	1	2	7	2	1	2
KwaZulu-Natal	1		50		10	
Mpumalanga		1	17	5	3	3
North-West		1	21	3	4	3
Northern Cape			24	2	3	2
Northern Province			22	4	4	2
Western Cape	1		24		5	
Sub total	4	2	223	8	41	6
<b>TOTAL: 284 MUNICIPALITIES</b>						

### Notes

Some metro, district and local municipalities extend across provincial boundaries. They are called cross-boundary municipalities.

Pretoria (Tshwane) for example is a metropolitan municipality but its boundary extends across the provincial boundary between Gauteng and North West.

Although Pretoria is one municipality it was proclaimed as a Metropolitan municipality in two provinces.

Gauteng has in effect 3 metropolitan municipalities but shares 2 of the 3 with other provinces (Mpumalanga and North West).  
The same applies to cross-boundary District and Local Municipalities.

### NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS AND WARDS: 1995/96 AND 5 DECEMBER 2000 LOCAL ELECTIONS

Prov-ince	WARD 1996	PR 1996	TOTAL 1996	TOTAL 2000	Wards 2000	Cross Boundary Municipalities included
EC	789	1146	1935	1440	601	
FS	844	464	1308	732	291	
GT	559	484	1043	982	446	CBLC2,CBLC8,East Rand, Pretoria
MP	598	504	1102	954	393	CBDC4,CBDC6
NC	466	345	811	404	162	CBDC1,CBDC7
NP	364	195	559	1056	445	CBLC3,CBLC5
NW	380	375	755	820	338	
WC	959	695	1654	781	330	
KZN	993	1208	2201	1782	748	
Total	5952	5416	11368	8951	3754	

### STATISTICS PER MUNICIPALITY

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
Port Elizabeth	Port Elizabeth	Nelson Mandela	54	108	
EC05b1	Umzimkulu	Umzimkulu (ECO5b1)	17	33	4
EC05b2	Mount Ayliff	Umzimvubu (EC05b2)	32	63	11
EC101	Graaff-Reinet	Camdeboo (EC101)	6	11	1
EC102	Somerset East	Blue Crane Route	5	10	1
EC103	Jansenville	Ikwezi	0	5	1
EC104	Grahamstown	Makana	12	24	3
EC105	Port Alfred	Ndlambe	9	17	2
EC106	Kirkwood	Sunday's River Valley	7	13	2
EC107	Willowmore	Baviaans	0	5	1
EC108	Humansdorp	Kouga	10	19	3
EC109	Kareedouw	Kou-Kamma	4	8	1
EC121	Idutywa	Mbhashe	24	47	4
EC122	Butterworth	Mnquma	31	61	6
EC123	Komga	Great Kei	6	12	1
EC124	Stutterheim	Amahlati	20	39	4
EC125	East London	Buffalo City	45	89	20
EC126	Peddie	Ngqushwa	14	27	3
EC127	Alice	Nkonkobe	21	41	4
EC128	Adelaide	Nxuba	4	8	1
EC131	Cradock	Inxuba Yethemba	9	17	2

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
EC132	Tarkastad	Tsolwana	5	10	1
EC133	Molteno	Inkwanca	0	6	1
EC134	Queenstown	Lukanji	24	48	5
EC135	Cofimvaba	Intsika Yethu	23	46	5
EC136	Lady Frere	Emalahleni	14	27	3
EC137	Engcobo	Engcobo	15	29	3
EC138	Elliot	Sakhisizwe	6	11	1
EC141	Mount Fletcher	Elundini	16	32	5
EC142	Barkly East	Senqu	16	32	5
EC143	Aliwal North	Malethswai (EC143)	6	12	1
EC144	Burgersdorp	Gariep	4	8	1
EC151	Bizana	Mbizana	21	42	4
EC152	Ntabankulu	Ntabankulu	11	22	2
EC153	Flagstaff	Ingquza	25	50	5
EC154	Port St Johns	Port St Johns (EC154)	13	25	2
EC155	Libode	Nyandeni	23	45	5
EC156	Qumbu	Mhlontlo	17	33	4
EC157	Umtata	King Sabata Dalindyebo	32	64	9
DC10	Western District	Western (DC10)		27	
DC12	Amatola District	Amatole		73	
DC13	Stormberg District	North East (DC13)		38	
DC14	Drakensberg District	Ukwahlamba		23	
DC15	Transkei District	O.R.Tambo		53	
DC44		E.G. Kei (DC44)		27	
ECDMA 10	Aberdeen Plain		0		1
ECDMA 13	Mount Zebra NP		0		1
ECDMA 14	Oviston Nature Reserve		0		1
ECDMA 44	O Conners Camp		0		1
FS161	Koffiefontein	Letsemeng Local Municipality	5	10	3
FS162	Trompsburg	Kopanong Local Municipality	7	14	3
FS163	Zastron	Mohokare Local Municipality	5	10	2
FS171	Dewetsdorp	Naledi Local Municipality	4	8	1
FS172	Bloemfontein	Mangaung Local Municipality	43	86	22
FS173	Ladybrand	Mantsopa Local	8	15	2



Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
		Municipality			
FS181	Theunissen	Masilonyana Local Municipality	10	19	2
FS182	Dealesville	Tokolologo Local Municipality	4	7	1
FS183	Hoopstad	Tswelopele Local Municipality	7	13	1
FS184	Welkom	Matjhabeng Local Municipality	36	72	15
FS185	Bothaville	Nala Local Municipality	12	23	3
FS191	Senekal	Setsoto Local Municipality	16	31	4
FS192	Bethlehem	Dihlabeng Local Municipality	17	34	4
FS193	Reitz	Nketoana Local Municipality	9	17	2
FS194	Qwa-Qwa	Maluti a Phofung Local Municipality	34	67	11
FS195	Vrede	Phumelela Local Municipality	7	14	2
FS201	Kroonstad	Moqhaka Local Municipality	24	48	7
FS203	Parys	Ngwathe Local Municipality	18	36	5
FS204	Sasolburg	Metsimaholo Local Municipality	17	33	4
FS205	Frankfort	Mafube Local Municipality	8	15	2
DC16		Xhariep District Municipality		14	
DC17		Motheo District Municipality		41	
DC18		Lejweleputswa District Municipality		37	
DC19		Thabo Mofutsanyane District Municipality		38	
DC20		Northern Free State District Municipality		30	
FSDMA19	Golden Gate Highlands NP		0		0
East Rand	East Rand	Greater East Rand Metro	88	175	
Johannesburg	Johannesburg	City of Johannesburg	109	217	

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
Pretoria	Pretoria	Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality	76	152	
CBLC2	Bronkhorstpruit	Kungwini Local Municipality	12	24	5
CBLC8	Carletonville	Merafong City Local Municipality	26	51	6
GT02b1	Cullinan	Nokeng tsa Taemane Metropolitan Municipality	6	12	4
GT411	Krugersdorp	Mogale City Local Municipality	32	64	9
GT412	Randfontein	Randfontein Local Municipality	19	37	4
GT414	Westonaria	Westonaria Local Municipality	15	29	3
GT421	Vereeniging	Emfuleni Local Municipality	43	85	22
GT422	Meyerton	Midvaal Local Municipality	9	18	2
GT423	Heidelberg	Lesedi Local Municipality	11	21	2
CBDC2		Metsweding District Municipality		15	
CBDC8		West Rand District Municipality		39	
DC42		Sedibeng District Municipality		43	
GTDMA 41	Sterkfontein				1
Durban	Durban Metro	Durban Metropolitan Municipality	100	200	0
KZ211	Dududu	Vulamehlo Municipality (KZ211)	10	20	3
KZ212	Scottburgh	KZ212 Municipality	9	18	2
KZ213	Umzumbe	Khiphinkunzi Municipality (KZ213)	19	37	5
KZ214	Harding	uMuziwabantu Municipality (KZ214)	9	18	2
KZ215	Izingolweni	Izingolweni Municipality (KZ215)	5	9	1
KZ216	Port	Hibiscus Coast	29	58	7

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
	Shepstone	Municipality (KZ216)			
KZ221	Wartburg	KZ221 Municipality	11	22	3
KZ222	Howick	KZ222 Municipality	11	21	2
KZ223	Moorivier	KZ223 Municipality	4	7	1
KZ224	Impendle	KZ224 Municipality	4	7	1
KZ225	Pietermaritzburg	KZ225 Municipality	37	73	15
KZ226	Camperdown	KZ226 Municipality	7	14	1
KZ227	Richmond	KZ227 Municipality	7	13	1
KZ232	Ladysmith	Emnambithi Municipality (KZ232)	25	50	6
KZ233	Waaihoek	Indaka Municipality (KZ233)	10	20	3
KZ234	Estcourt	Umtshezi Municipality (KZ234)	7	14	2
KZ235	Bergville	Okhahlamba Municipality (KZ235)	13	26	3
KZ236	Loskop	Imbabazane Municipality (KZ236)	12	23	3
KZ241	Dundee	KZ241 Municipality	6	12	2
KZ242	Nqutu	KZ242 Municipality	13	25	4
KZ244	Pomeroy	Umsinga Municipality (KZ244)	17	33	5
KZ245	Greytown	Umvoti Municipality (KZ245)	11	21	3
KZ252	Newcastle	Newcastle Municipality (KZ252)	31	61	11
KZ253	Utrecht	Utrecht Municipality (KZ253)	4	7	1
KZ254	Durnacol	Dannhauser Municipality (KZ254)	10	20	3
KZ261	Paulpietersburg	eDumbe Municipality (KZ261)	7	14	2
KZ262	Pongola	uPhongolo	11	22	3

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
		Municipality (KZ262)			
KZ263	Vryheid	KZ263 Municipality	20	39	5
KZ265	Nongoma	Nongoma Municipality (KZ265)	19	38	5
KZ266	Ulundi	Ulundi Municipality (KZ266)	24	47	5
KZ271	Emangusi	Umhlabuyalingana Municipality (KZ271)	12	24	3
KZ272	Mkuze	KZ272 Municipality	16	32	5
KZ273	Hluhluwe	Umzinene Municipality (KZ273)	4	7	1
KZ274	Somkele	Hlabisa Municipality (KZ274)	19	37	5
KZ275	Mtubatuba	Inyala/Mtubatuba Municipality (KZ275)	5	10	1
KZ281	KwaMbonambi	Mbonambi Municipality (KZ281)	13	25	3
KZ282	Richards Bay	uMhlathuze Municipality (KZ282)	30	60	8
KZ283	Ntambanana	Ntambanana Municipality (KZ283)	8	15	2
KZ284	Eshowe	Umlalazi Municipality (KZ284)	26	52	6
KZ285	Melmoth	Mthonjaneni Municipality (KZ285)	6	11	1
KZ286	Nkandla	Nkandla Municipality (KZ286)	14	27	3
KZ291	Mandeni	Mandeni Municipality (KZ291)	16	32	4
KZ292	Stanger	KZ292 Municipality	19	37	5
KZ293	Ndwedwe	Ndwedwe Municipality (KZ293)	19	37	5
KZ294	Maphumulo	Maphumulo Municipality (KZ294)	11	21	3
KZ5a1	Creighton	KZ5a1	10	20	3

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
		Municipality			
KZ5a2	Underberg	KZ5a2 Municipality	0	5	1
KZ5a3	Matatiele	KZ5a3 Municipality	0	5	1
KZ5a4	Kokstad	KZ5a4 Municipality	6	11	2
KZ5a5	Ixopo	KZ5a5 Municipality	12	23	4
DC21	Ugu DC	Ugu District Municipality		34	
DC22	Indlovu DC	DC22 Municipality		41	
DC23	Uthukela DC	Uthukela District Municipality (DC23)		30	
DC24	(South) Umzinyathi DC	Umzinyathi Municipality (DC24)		23	
DC25	North Umzimyathi	DC25 Municipality		25	
DC26	Zululand DC	Zululand District Municipality (DC26)		34	
DC27	North Uthungulu	Umkhanyakude Municipality		27	
DC28	(South) Uthungulu DC	uThungulu Municipality (DC28)		39	
DC29	Ilembe DC	iLembe District Municipality (DC29)		29	
DC43		DC43 Municipality		20	
KZDMA 22	Highmoor/Kamberg Park		0		1
KZDMA 23	Gaints Castle Game Reserve		0		1
KZDMA 27	St Lucia Park		0		1
KZDMA 43	Mkhomazi Wilderness area		0		1
CBLC3	Marble Hall	Greater Marble Hall Municipality	13	26	3
CBLC4	Groblersdal	Greater Groblersdal Municipality	29	57	7
CBLC5	Burgersfort/Ohrigstad/Eastern Tubatse	Greater Tubatse Municipality	29	57	6
MP301	Elukwatini/Carolina	Albert Luthuli	22	44	5

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
MP302	Ermelo	Msukaligwa	16	32	4
MP303	Piet Retief	Mkhondo	15	30	3
MP304	Volksrust	Seme	10	20	2
MP305	Standerton	Lekwa	14	27	3
MP306	Balfour	Dipaleseng	6	11	1
MP307	Highveld Ridge	Highveld East	31	61	8
MP311	Delmas	Delmas	8	15	2
MP312	Witbank	Emalahleni	32	64	8
MP313	Middelburg	Middelburg	24	47	5
MP314	Belfast	Highlands	7	13	1
MP315	KwaMhlanga	Thembisile	30	60	7
MP316	Mdutjana	Dr JS Moroka	30	60	7
MP321	Sabie	Thaba Chweu	12	23	3
MP322	Nelspruit	Mbombela	36	71	13
MP323	Barberton	Umjindi	7	14	1
MP324	Nkomazi	Nkomazi	30	60	7
CBDC3	Southern	Sekhukhune Cross Boundary District Municipality		42	
DC30		Eastvaal District Council		44	
DC31		Ngankala		50	
DC32		Ehlanzeni		41	
CBDMA 3	Schuinsdraai Nature Reserve		0		
MPDMA 31	Mdala Nature Reserve		0		1
MPDMA 32	DMA Lowveld		0		1
NW371	Temba	Moretele Local Municipality (NW371)	22	43	4
NW372	Brits	NW372 Local Council	30	60	9
NW373	Rustenburg	Rustenburg Local Municipality	35	69	9
NW374	Koster	NW374 Local Municipality	5	10	1
NW375	Mogwase	Mankwe-Madikwe Local Municipality	30	60	6
NW381	Setlagole	Setla-Kgobi Local Municipality (NW381)	11	22	3
NW382	Ottosdal	Greater Delareyville Local Municipality (NW382)	13	25	3
NW383	Mafikeng	Mafikeng Local	28	56	8

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
		Municipality (NW383)			
NW384	Lichtenburg	Lichtenburg Local Municipality (NW384)	19	38	5
NW385	Zeerust	Zeerust Local Municipality (NW385)	17	34	5
NW391	Ganyesa	Kagisano Local Municipality (NW391)	9	18	3
NW392	Vryburg	Naledi Local Municipality	9	18	2
NW393	Schweizer-Reneke	Schweizer-Reneke Local Municipality (NW393)	6	12	2
NW394	Reivilo	Greater Taung Local Municipality	20	40	6
NW395	Pomfret	Molopo Local Municipality (NW395)	4	8	1
NW396	Christiana	Christiana/Bloemhof Local Municipality	6	11	1
NW401	Ventersdorp	Ventersdorp Local Municipality (NW401)	5	10	1
NW402	Potchefstroom	Potchefstroom Local Municipality (NW402)	20	40	5
NW403	Klerksdorp	Klerksdorp Local Municipality (NW403)	30	60	13
NW404	Wolmaransstad	Maquassi Hills Local Municipality (NW404)	8	15	2
DC37		Bokone-Botlhaba District Municipality (DC37)		50	
DC38		Central District Municipality (DC38)		40	
DC39		Bophirima District Municipality (DC39)		25	
DC40		Southern District Municipality (DC40)		35	

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
NWDMA 37	Pilansberg National Park				1
CBLC1	Kuruman	Kuruman-Mothibstad Municipality	9	18	4
CBLC7	Hartswater	Vaalharts-Morobeng	9	18	2
NC01B1	Kathu	Gammagara Municipality	4	8	1
NC061	Port Nolloth	Richtersveld Municipality	4	8	1
NC062	Springbok	Nama Khoi Municipality	9	17	2
NC064	Garies	Kamiesberg Municipality	4	7	1
NC065	Calvinia	Hantam Municipality	5	9	1
NC066	Fraserburg	Frasuwil Municipality	4	7	1
NC067	Pofadder	Boesmanland Municipality	4	7	1
NC071	Victoria West	Sentrale Karoo Municipality	4	8	1
NC072	Colesberg	Towerberg Municipality	5	10	1
NC073	De Aar	GAROP Municipality	7	14	2
NC074	Carnarvon	Kareeberg Municipality	4	7	1
NC075	Phillipstown	Renosterberg Municipality	4	7	1
NC076	Hopetown	Oranje-Karoo Municipality	4	7	1
NC077	Prieska	Priemanday Municipality	4	8	1
NC078	Griekwastad	Siyancuma Municipality	5	9	1
NC081	Mier	Mier Municipality	0	5	1
NC082	Keimoes	! Kei ! Kariep Municipality	8	15	2
NC083	Upington	Gariep Municipality	12	23	3
NC084	Groblershoop	I Poort Municipality	4	7	1
NC085	Postmasburg	Re A Ipela Municipality	6	11	2
NC086	Danielskuil	Dan-Lime Municipality	4	8	1
NC091	Kimberley	Kimberley Municipality	27	53	9
NC092	Barkley West	Thusanang Municipality	7	13	2



Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
NC093	Warrenton	Warrenton Municipality	5	9	1
NW1a1	Kgalagadi	Segonyana Municipality	11	21	4
CBDC1	Kalahari District Council	Kalahari-Kgalagadi District Municipality		17	
DC6		Namakwa District Municipality		14	
DC7		Bo-Karoo Municipality		17	
DC8		Benede Oranje District Municipality		18	
DC9		Diamantveld District Municipality		25	
NCDMA 06	Namaqualand		0		1
NCDMA 07	Bo Karoo		0		1
NCDMA 08	Benede		0		1
NCDMA 09	Diamondfields		0		1
NCDMA CB1	Kalahari CBDC		0		1
CBL6	Bushbuckridge	Bushbuckridge Municipality	34	68	16
NP03A2	Ngwaritsi	Makhudutamaga Municipality	28	56	7
NP03A3	Fetagomo	Fetagomo Municipality	11	22	2
NP04A1	Hoedspruit	Drakensberg Municipality	10	20	1
NP331	Giyani	Greater Giyani Municipality	25	50	5
NP332	Duiwelskloof	Greater Letaba Municipality	23	46	5
NP333	Tzaneen	Greater Tzaneen Municipality	33	66	9
NP334	Phalaborwa	Ba-Phalaborwa	14	28	3
NP341	Messina	Musina	5	9	1
NP342	Mutale/Masiisi	Mutale	9	17	2
NP343	Thohoyandou	NP343	36	71	12
NP344	Louis Trichardt	NP344	35	69	11
NP351	Bochum/My Darling	Blouberg	16	32	3
NP352	Moletje/Matlala	Aganang	18	35	5

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
NP353	Dendron/Dikgale	Molemole	12	23	1
NP354	Pietersburg	Polokwane	35	69	11
NP355	Lebowakgomo	Lepelle-Nkumpi	25	49	5
NP361	Thabazimbi	Thabazimbi	8	16	2
NP362	Ellisras	Lephalale	11	21	2
NP364	Naboomspruit	NP364	4	7	1
NP365	Nylstroom	Modimolle	7	13	1
NP366	Warmbath	Bela Bela	7	13	2
NP367	Potgietersrus	Mogalakwena	31	62	8
CBDC4		Eastern Municipality		29	
DC33	Lowveld	Mopani District Municipality		37	
DC34	Far North	Vhembe District Municipality		43	
DC35	Central	Central District Municipality		42	
DC36	Bosveld	Waterberg		28	
CBDMA4	Kruger Park		0		1
Cape Town	Cape Town	City of Cape Town	100	200	
WC011	Vredendal	Matzikama Municipality	7	13	2
WC012	Citrusdal	Cederberg Municipality	6	12	2
WC013	Velddrif	Bergrivier Municipality	7	13	2
WC014	West Coast Peninsula	Saldanha Bay Municipality	10	20	4
WC015	Malmesbury	Swartland Municipality	10	19	3
WC022	Ceres	Witzenberg Municipality	11	21	2
WC023	Paarl	Drakenstein Municipality	29	58	8
WC024	Stellenbosch	Stellenbosch Municipality	18	35	4
WC025	Worcester	Breede Valley Municipality	19	37	5
WC026	Robertson	Breede River/Winelands Municipality	10	19	2
WC031	Caledon	Theewaterskloof Municipality	10	19	5
WC032	Greater Hermanus	Overstrand Municipality	9	18	4
WC033	Bredasdorp	Cape Agulhas Municipality	5	10	1
WC034	Barrydale/S	Swellendam	5	10	1

Council Name	Common Name	Section 12 Name	Number of Wards	Number of Councillors (Ward and PR)	Number of Councillors to DC
	wellendam	Municipality			
WC041	Ladismith	Kannaland Municipality	5	9	1
WC042	Heidelberg/Riversdale	Langeberg Municipality	8	15	2
WC043	Mossel Bay	Mossel Bay Municipality	11	21	3
WC044	George	George Municipality	18	35	5
WC045	Oudtshoorn	Oudtshoorn Municipality	12	23	3
WC047	Greater Plettenberg Bay	Plettenberg Bay Municipality	5	10	1
WC048	Knysna	Knysna Municipality	8	16	2
WC051	Laingsburg	Laingsburg Municipality	0	6	1
WC052	Prins Albert	Prince Albert Municipality	0	6	1
WC053	Beaufort West	Beaufort West Municipality	7	13	5
DC1	West Coast DC	West Coast District Municipality		23	
DC2	Brede River DC	Boland District Municipality		36	
DC3	Overberg DC	Overberg District Municipality		20	
DC4	South Cape DC	Garden Route/Klein Karoo District Municipality		30	
DC5	Central Karoo DC	Central Karoo District Municipality		14	
WCDMA 01	West Coast DC		0		1
WCDMA 02	Brede River DC		0		1
WCDMA 03	Overberg DC		0		1
WCDMA 04	South Cape DC		0		1
WCDMA 05	Central Karoo DC		0		1
	3754	3754			

## 18. WHERE TO FIND THE FINAL PUBLISHED MUNICIPAL BOUNDARIES

PROVINCE AND MUNICIPALITY	MAP NUMBER	NOTICE NUMBER	GAZETTE NUMBER	DATE PUBLISHED
Eastern Cape				

Port Elizabeth	1	22	486	28 February 2000
DC10	2	22	486	28 February 2000
DC12	3	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
DC13	4	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
DC14	5	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
DC15	6	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
DC44	1	169	613	26 July 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>9 August 2000</b>
EC05b1	2	169	613	26 July 2000
EC05b2	3	169	613	26 July 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
EC101	7	22	486	28 February 2000
EC102	8	22	486	28 February 2000
EC103	9	22	486	28 February 2000
EC104	10	22	486	28 February 2000
EC105	11	22	486	28 February 2000
EC106	12	22	486	28 February 2000
EC107	13	22	486	28 February 2000
EC108	14	199	633	9 August 2000
EC109	15	199	633	9 August 2000
EC121	16	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC122	17	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC123	18	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC124	19	169	613	26 July 2000
EC125	20	169	613	26 July 2000
EC126	21	22	486	28 February 2000
EC127	22	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
EC128	23	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
EC131	24	22	486	28 February 2000
EC132	25	22	486	28 February 2000
EC133	26	22	486	28 February 2000
EC134	27	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
EC135	28	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC136	29	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC137	30	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC138	31	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC141	32	22	486	28 February 2000

<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC142	33	22	486	28 February 2000
EC143	34	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
EC144	35	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
EC151	36	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC152	37	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
EC153	38	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
EC154	39	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC155	40	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC156	41	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
EC157	42	22	486	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>7 August 2000</b>
<b>Free State</b>				
DC16	1		14	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>30</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
DC17	2		14	28 February 2000
DC18	3		89	14 July 2000
DC19	4		14	28 February 2000
DC20	5		89	14 July 2000
FS161	6		14	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>6</b>		<b>30</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
FS162	7		14	28 February 2000
FS163	8		14	28 February 2000
FS171	9		14	28 February 2000
FS172	10		14	28 February 2000
FS173	11		14	28 February 2000
FS181	12		14	28 February 2000
FS182	13		14	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>13</b>		<b>30</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
FS183	14		14	28 February 2000
FS184	15		14	28 February 2000
FS185	16		89	14 July 2000
FS191	17		14	28 February 2000
FS192	18		14	28 February 2000
FS193	19		14	28 February 2000
FS194	20		14	28 February 2000
FS195	21		14	28 February 2000
FS201	22		89	14 July 2000
FS203	23		14	28 February 2000
FS204	24		14	28 February 2000
FS205	25		14	28 February 2000
<b>Gauteng</b>				

Pretoria	2	6574	137	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>6956</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>8338</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
East Rand	1	6574	137	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>6956</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>8338</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
Johannesburg	1	1175	16	2 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	1	6569	135	19 September 2000
DC42	2	1175	16	2 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	2	6569	135	19 September 2000
CBDC2	4	6574	137	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>8338</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBDC8	7	6574	137	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>8338</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
GT02b1	10	1175	16	2 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	10	6569	135	19 September 2000
GT411	7	1175	16	2 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2810</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
GT412	8	1175	16	2 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2810</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
GT414	9	1175	16	2 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	9	6569	135	19 September 2000
GT421	3	1175	16	2 March 2000
GT422	4	1175	16	2 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	4	6569	135	19 September 2000
GT423	5	1175	16	2 March 2000
CBLC2	10	6574	137	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>6956</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>8338</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBLC8	16	4822	92	21 July 2000
<b>KwaZulu-Natal</b>				
Durban	1	24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
DC21	2	24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
DC22	3	24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>5592</b>	<b>1 Dec. 2000</b>
DC23	4	24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>5592</b>	<b>1 Dec. 2000</b>
DC24	5	147	5535	18 July 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
DC25	6	24	5415	10 March 2000
DC26	7	147	5535	18 July 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
DC27	8	24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>

DC28	9	147	5535	18 July 2000
DC29	10	147	5535	18 July 2000
DC43	63	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ211	11	24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
KZ212	12	24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>5426</b>	<b>15 May 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>224</b>	<b>5587</b>	<b>16 Nov 2000</b>
KZ213	13	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ214	14	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
KZ215	15	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
KZ216	16	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ221	17	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice (wording of notice)</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ222	18	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ223	19	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>5592</b>	<b>1 Dec. 2000</b>
KZ224	20	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ225	21	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ226	22	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ227	23	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ232	24	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ233	25	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ234	26	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
KZ235	27	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ236	28	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>5592</b>	<b>1 Dec. 2000</b>
KZ241	29	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ242	30	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ244	32	147	5535	18 July 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5578</b>	<b>11 Oct 2000</b>
KZ245	33	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ252	34	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ253	35	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ254	36	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ261	37	MN24	5415	10 March 2000

KZ262	38	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ263	39	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ265	40	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>5426</b>	<b>15 May 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>224</b>	<b>5587</b>	<b>16 Nov 2000</b>
KZ266	41	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ271	42	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ272	43	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ273	44	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ274	45	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ275	56	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ281	46	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ282	47	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ283	48	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ284	49	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ285	50	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ286	51	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ291	52	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ292	53	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
KZ293	54	MN24	5415	10 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5485</b>	<b>9 June 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>5530</b>	<b>29 June 2000</b>
KZ294	55	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ5a1	58	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ5a2	59	147	5535	18 July 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>5552</b>	<b>23 August 2000</b>
KZ5a3	60	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ5a4	61	147	5535	18 July 2000
KZ5a5	62	147	5535	18 July 2000
<b>Mpumalanga</b>				
East Rand	1	291	627	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>328</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>372</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
DC30	1	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction Notice</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
DC31	2	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>630</b>	<b>26 Sept 2000</b>
DC32	3	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>9 August 2000</b>



CBDC2	4	291	627	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>372</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBDC3	5	291	627	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>372</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBDC4	6	204	594	21 July 2000
MP301	4	50	513	28 February 2000
MP302	5	50	513	28 February 2000
MP303	6	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>10 March 2000</b>
MP304	7	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
MP305	8	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
MP306	9	50	513	28 February 2000
MP307	10	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
MP311	11	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
MP312	12	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
MP313	13	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>630</b>	<b>26 Sept 2000</b>
MP314	14	50	513	28 February 2000
MP315	15	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>630</b>	<b>26 Sept 2000</b>
MP316	16	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>9 August 2000</b>
MP321	17	50	513	28 February 2000
MP322	18	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
MP323	19	50	513	28 February 2000
MP324	20	50	513	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>9 August 2000</b>
CBLC2	10	291	627	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>328</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>372</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBLC3	11	291	627	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>328</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>372</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBLC4	12	204	594	21 July 2000
CBLC5	13	291	627	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>328</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>372</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBLC6	14	204	594	21 July 2000
<b>Northern Cape</b>				
DC6	1	10	445	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
DC7	2	10	445	28 February 2000

<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
DC8	3	10	445	28 February 2000
CBDC1	3	129	554	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>154</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
DC9	8	129	554	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>154</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
NC01B1	28	10	445	28 February 2000
NC061	5	10	455	28 February 2000
NC062	5(p.13)	10	445	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>28 April 2000</b>
NC064	7	10	445	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
NC065	8	10	455	28 February 2000
NC066	9	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
NC067	10	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
NC071	11	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
NC072	12	10	455	28 February 2000
NC073	13	10	455	28 February 2000
NC074	14	10	455	28 February 2000
NC075	15	10	455	28 February 2000
NC076	16	10	455	28 February 2000
NC077	17	10	455	28 February 2000
NC078	18	10	455	28 February 2000
NC081	19	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
NC082	20	10	455	28 February 2000
NC083	21	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
NC084	22	10	455	28 February 2000
NC085	23	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
NC086	24	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>8 May 2000</b>
NC091	25	10	455	28 February 2000
NC092	26	10	455	28 February 2000
NC093	27	10	455	28 February 2000
<b>CBLC1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>21 July 2000</b>
<b>CBLC7</b>	<b>15</b>	129	554	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>136</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>154</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
<b>Northern Province</b>				
DC33	1	38	484	28 February 2000
DC34	2	38	484	28 February 2000
DC35	3	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
DC36	4	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>

CBDC3	5	288	607	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>386</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBDC4	6	205	569	21 July 2000
NP03A2	25	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	25	286	605	19 September 2000
NP03A3	26	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>8 August 2000</b>
NP04A1	27	38	484	28 February 2000
NP331	5	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>8 August 2000</b>
NP332	6	206	571	21 July 2000
NP333	7	206	571	21 July 2000
NP334	8	38	484	28 February 2000
NP341	9	38	484	28 February 2000
NP342	10	38	484	28 February 2000
NP343	11	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
NP344	12	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
NP351	13	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
NP352	14	206	571	21 July 2000
NP353	15	206	571	21 July 2000
NP354	16	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>8 August 2000</b>
NP355	17	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	17	286	605	19 September 2000
NP361	18	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>5 June 2000</b>
NP362	19	38	484	28 February 2000
NP364	20	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	20	286	605	19 September 2000
NP365	21	38	484	28 February 2000
NP366	22	38	484	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
NP367	23	38	484	28 February 2000
CBLC3	11	288	607	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>332</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>5 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>386</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBLC4	12	205	569	21 July 2000
CBLC5	13	288	607	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>332</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>5 October 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>386</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBLC6	14	205	569	21 July 2000
<b>North West</b>				
Pretoria	2	297	5569	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>350</b>	<b>5592</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Correction of typing</b>		<b>393</b>	<b>5615</b>	<b>13 Nov 2000</b>

<b>error in notice 350</b>				
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>5618</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
DC37	1	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>5576</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
DC38	2	37	5447	28 February 2000
DC39	3	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
DC40	4	37	5447	28 February 2000
CBDC1	3	297	5569	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>5618</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBDC8	7	297	5569	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>5618</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
DC9	8	297	5569	22 Sept 2000
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>5618</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
NW1a1	26	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
NW371	5	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>5576</b>	<b>30 Sept 2000</b>
NW372	6	208	5536	21 July 2000
NW373	7	208	5536	21 July 2000
NW374	8	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW375	9	208	5536	21 July 2000
NW381	10	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW382	11	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW383	12	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
NW384	13	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
NW385	14	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW391	15	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
NW392	16	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW393	17	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW394	23	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW395	18	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW396	24	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
NW401	19	37	5447	28 February 2000
NW402	20	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
NW403	21	37	5447	28 February 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>5566</b>	<b>19 September 2000</b>
NW404	22	37	5447	28 February 2000
CBLC1	9	207	5535	21 July 2000
CBLC7	15	297	5569	22 Sept 2000
<b>Correction notice re sec 2 of CBA</b>		<b>350</b>	<b>5592</b>	<b>6 October 2000</b>
<b>Correction of typing error in notice 350</b>		<b>393</b>	<b>5615</b>	<b>13 Nov 2000</b>
<b>Final determination</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>5618</b>	<b>24 Nov. 2000</b>
CBLC8	26	333	5583	2 October 2000

<b>Western Cape</b>				
Cape Town	1	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>5587</b>	<b>19 Sept 2000</b>
DC1	2	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>5587</b>	<b>19 Sept 2000</b>
DC2	3	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>29 Sept. 2000</b>
DC3	4	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>5469</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
DC4	5	69	5431	3 March 2000
DC5	6	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC011	7	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC012	8	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>5469</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
WC013	9	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>5469</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
WC014	10	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC015	11	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>5627</b>	<b>13 Nov 2000</b>
WC022	12	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>29 Sept. 2000</b>
WC023	13	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>5510</b>	<b>2 June 2000</b>
WC024	14	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>5587</b>	<b>19 Sept 2000</b>
WC025	15	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>5587</b>	<b>19 Sept 2000</b>
WC026	16	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>5469</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
WC031	17	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC032	18	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC033	19	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC034	20	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>5469</b>	<b>5 May 2000</b>
WC041	21	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC042	22	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC043	23	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC044	24	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>5627</b>	<b>13 Nov 2000</b>
WC045	25	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC047	26	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC048	27	69	5431	3 March 2000
<b>Correction notice</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>5568</b>	<b>25 August 2000</b>
<b>Correction notice</b>		<b>520</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>29 September 2000</b>
WC051	28	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC052	29	69	5431	3 March 2000
WC053	30	69	5431	3 March 2000

## **19. MAPS**

A map of South Africa containing the boundaries of all category A, B and C municipalities is attached.

Maps can also be obtained from the Board's offices or downloaded from the web-site: [www.demarcation.org.za](http://www.demarcation.org.za).

## **20. AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The audited financial statements of the Board for the 1999/2000 period are attached.

The Board encountered a number of problems during the audit process and dealt with them in consultation with the Office of the Auditor General.

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL ON THE FINANCIAL  
STATEMENTS OF THE MUNICIPAL DEMARCATION  
BOARD FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH  
2000

## 1. AUDIT ASSIGNMENT

The financial statements, as set out on pages [ ] to [ ] for the year ended 31 March 2000, have been audited in terms of the provisions of section 188 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act No. 108 of 1996), read with sections 3 and 5 of the Auditor-General Act, 1995 (Act No. 12 of 1995), and section 38 of the Local Government: Municipal Demarcation Act, 1998 (Act No. 27 of 1998). These financial statements, the maintenance of effective control measures and compliance with relevant laws and regulations are the responsibility of the Demarcation Board's manager. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the compliance with relevant laws and regulations applicable to financial matters, based on the audit.

## 2. REGULATORY AUDIT

### 2.1 Nature and scope

#### 2.1.1 Financial audit

**The audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards, which incorporate generally accepted auditing standards. These standards require the audit to be planned and performed to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes:**

- examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures included in the annual financial statements;
- assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management; and
- evaluating the overall financial statements presentation.

I believe that the audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

#### 2.1.2 Compliance audit

Furthermore, an audit includes an examination, on a test basis, of evidence supporting compliance in all material respects with relevant laws and regulations which came to my attention and are applicable to

financial matters. I believe that the audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

## **2.2 Unqualified audit opinion**



### **2.2.1 Financial audit**

In my opinion the financial statements fairly present, in all material respects, the financial position of the Municipal Demarcation Board at 31 March 2000 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with generally accepted accounting practice.

### **2.2.2 Compliance audit**

Based on the audit work performed, nothing has come to my attention that causes me to believe that material non-compliance with the relevant laws and regulations, applicable to financial matters, has occurred.

## **3. EMPHASIS OF MATTER**

Without qualifying the audit opinion expressed above, attention is drawn to the following matters:

### **3.1 Internal controls**

During the audit, certain shortcomings in the systems of internal checking and control were identified. These were brought to the attention of the board's management through a management letter and further discussed by the audit steering committee. The corrective measures instituted by the board will be evaluated during the next audit. The following are particulars of the more important control weaknesses which are reported in terms of the reporting requirements of section 5(e) of the Auditor General Act, 1995:

- Bank reconciliations were not reviewed and approved by a senior independent person.
- No reconciliations were performed between suppliers' statements and the creditors ledger accounts, nor between the creditors' listing and creditors control account. These are required to ensure the accuracy and completeness of trade creditors.
- Cheques were not always properly crossed, which could result in cheques being deposited by a person other than the payee.
- Supporting documentation was not cancelled once it had been paid.

### **3.2 Asset management**

The following shortcomings in the management of assets were identified:

- The information recorded in the fixed asset register was inadequate. Important information such as depreciation, accumulated depreciation, net book value, asset disposals and the proceeds on disposal was not recorded in this register.
- Fixed assets were not tagged for identification, which made it difficult to conduct a physical inventory count and thereby establish the physical existence of fixed assets.
- Fixed assets per the accounting records were not reconciled with the fixed asset register. This is important in establishing the accuracy, validity and completeness of fixed assets.

### **3.3 Audit committee and Internal audit**

During the year the board established an audit steering committee which comprises members of the board. However, no internal audit department was established.

## **4. APPRECIATION**

The assistance rendered by the Municipal Demarcation Board during this audit is sincerely appreciated.

H van Zyl  
*for* **AUDITOR-GENERAL**

Pretoria, 6/03/2001

